

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 18, 506–510 (1971)

# Some Sylow 2-Groups Which Cannot Occur in Simple Groups

PAUL CHABOT

*Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame**Communicated by Richard Brauer*

Received February 11, 1970

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will define a certain class  $\mathbf{P}$  of 2-groups  $P$  and then prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $P \in \mathbf{P}$  be a Sylow 2-group of  $G$ . Then,  $Z^*(G) > O_2'(G)$ .*

The motivation for this result lies in the fact that, for  $q$  odd, the Sylow 2-groups of the symplectic groups  $Sp(2n, q)$  are members of  $\mathbf{P}$ .

The method is basically an involved application of the Krull-Schmidt theorem. For any  $P \in \mathbf{P}$ , we will demonstrate that there is a well-determined involution  $\tau \in P$  such that  $\langle \tau \rangle$  char.  $C_P(x)$  for all involution  $x \in P$ . It is well-known that this implies that  $\tau$  lies in  $Z^*(G) = Z(G \bmod O_2'(G))$ .

All groups considered are finite and unexplained notation may be found in Gorenstein [4].

## 2. DEFINITION OF $\mathbf{P}$

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  denote the class of all non-Abelian indecomposable 2-groups  $X$  with  $\Omega_1(X) \leq Z(X)$  such that there is an involution  $\tau_X$  in the commutator group  $X'$  with  $\langle \tau_X \rangle$  characteristic in  $X$ . Let  $\tau$  be a choice function on  $\mathbf{X}$  such that for any  $X \in \mathbf{X}$  we have that  $\tau(X)$  is an involution of  $X'$  with  $\langle \tau(X) \rangle$  characteristic in  $X$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be the smallest class of 2-groups subject to the restrictions:

- (i)  $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ .
- (ii) If  $X \in \mathbf{P}$ , then  $X \times A \in \mathbf{P}$ , where  $A$  is any abelian 2-group.

(iii) If  $X \in \mathbf{P}$ , then  $X \sim Z_2 \in \mathbf{P}$ . (wreath product).

(iv) If  $X, Y \in \mathbf{P}$ , then  $X \times Y \in \mathbf{P}$ .

We now establish an important property of the class  $\mathbf{P}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $x$  is an involution of  $P \in \mathbf{P}$ , then  $C_P(x) \in \mathbf{P}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction since the statement is obvious for  $P \in \mathbf{X}$  because then  $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(P)$  and  $C_P(x) = P$  for all involutions  $x \in P$ . We consider our definition of the class  $\mathbf{P}$  and quickly conclude that the lemma is trivially true for steps (ii) and (iv) by induction. Hence, we must only worry about step (iii).

Thus, let  $P = (X \times X^u)\langle u \rangle$ ,  $u^2 = 1$  and let  $x$  be any involution of  $P$ . If  $C_P(x) \leq X \times X^u$ , then  $C_P(x) = C_{X \times X^u}(x) \in \mathbf{P}$  by induction. Thus, we may assume  $C_P(x) \not\leq X \times X^u$ . If  $x \in P - (X \times X^u)$ , then we note that  $X^x = X^u$  and, after a little thought, conclude that

$$C_P(x) = \langle x \rangle \times \{zz^x \mid z \in X\} \simeq \langle x \rangle \times X \in \mathbf{P}.$$

If  $x \in X \times X^u$ , let  $x = x_1x_2$  and let  $v_1v_2u \in C_P(x)$ , where  $x_1, v_1 \in X$  and  $x_2, v_2 \in X^u$ . So  $x^{v_1v_2u} = x_2$  and  $x^{v_1v_2u} = x_1$ . Consider the conjugate  $\bar{x} = x^{v_1}$  of  $x$ . Let  $\bar{x} = \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2$ , where  $\bar{x}_1 = x_1^{v_1} = x_1^{v_1v_2}$  and  $\bar{x}_2 = x_2^{v_1} = x_2$ . Thus,  $\bar{x}_1^u = x_1^{v_1v_2u} = x_2 = \bar{x}_2$  and  $\bar{x}_2^u = x_2^u = x_1^{v_1v_2} = \bar{x}_1$ . Thus,  $u \in C_P(\bar{x})$ . Therefore,  $C_P(x) \simeq C_P(\bar{x}) = (C_X(\bar{x}_1) \times C_{X^u}(\bar{x}_2))\langle u \rangle = C_X(\bar{x}_1) \sim Z_2 \in \mathbf{P}$  by induction, since  $C_X(\bar{x}_1) \in \mathbf{P}$  by induction. This completes the proof of the lemma.

### 3. MAIN LEMMA

We extend our choice function  $\tau$  to all of  $\mathbf{P}$  by defining

- (i)  $\tau(X \times A) = \tau(X)$ ,  $A$  Abelian.
- (ii)  $\tau(X \sim Z_2) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$ , where  $X \sim Z_2 = (X \times X^u)\langle u \rangle$  and  $u^2 = 1$ .
- (iii)  $\tau(X \times Y) = \tau(X)\tau(Y)$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *The involution  $\tau(P)$  is well-defined for all  $P \in \mathbf{P}$ , and  $\tau(C_P(x)) = \tau(P)$  for an involution  $x \in P$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by double induction. Our first ordering is just inclusion on  $\mathbf{P}$ . For any  $P \in \mathbf{P}$ , our second ordering is on  $\{C_P(x) : x \text{ involution of } P\}$  and is given by  $|C_P(x)|$ .

We begin our induction by simply observing that  $\tau(P)$  is already well-defined for  $P \in \mathbf{X}$  and that  $C_P(x) = P$  for all involutions  $x \in P \in \mathbf{X}$ , since  $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(P)$ .

Now, we observe that if  $X \in \mathbf{P}$ , then any non-Abelian indecomposable factor of  $X$  also lies in  $\mathbf{P}$ . Let  $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \times B$  be a decomposition of  $X \in P$  into non-Abelian indecomposable  $X_i$  and an Abelian group  $B$ . Let  $P = X \times A$  for some Abelian  $A \neq 1$ . By induction,  $\tau(X)$  is defined, and  $\tau(X) = \tau(X_1) \cdots \tau(X_n)$ . Clearly,  $\tau(X) \in X' = X_1' \times \cdots \times X_n' = [X \times A, X \times A]$ , since each  $\tau(X_i)$  lies in  $X_i'$ . Now, let  $\alpha \in \text{aut}(X \times A)$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \times B \times A &= X \times A = (X \times A)^\alpha \\ &= X_1^\alpha \times \cdots \times X_n^\alpha \times B^\alpha \times A^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By the Krull-Schmidt theorem, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the  $X_i$  and the  $X_i^\alpha$  such that corresponding groups are centrally isomorphic. Thus, there is a central isomorphism  $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_j^\alpha$ , for some  $j$ . But, then,  $\varphi_i(\tau(X_i)) = \tau(X_i)$ , since  $\tau(X_i) \in X_i'$ . Now,  $\langle \tau(X_i) \rangle \text{ char } X_i$  implies that  $\tau(X_i) = \tau(X_j^\alpha) = \tau(X_j)^\alpha$ . Hence,  $\alpha$  permutes the  $\tau(X_j)$  and thus fixes  $\tau(X)$ . Therefore, the extension of  $\tau$  is well-defined by step (i). It is clear that essentially the same argument shows that the extension of  $\tau$  is well-defined by step (iii), because by induction we would have  $\tau(X)$  and  $\tau(Y)$  well-defined. The statement that  $\tau(C_P(x)) = \tau(P)$  follows trivially by induction for steps (i) and (iii), since in these cases the centralizer may be written as a direct product of centralizers in smaller  $P$ 's.

Thus, we are left to prove that the lemma is true in step (ii) of the extension of  $\tau$  to  $\mathbf{P}$ . Let  $P = (X \times X^u)\langle u \rangle$ ,  $u^2 = 1$ . Suppose we have a different presentation for  $P = X \sim Z_2$ ; say,  $P = (Y \times Y^v)\langle v \rangle$ ,  $v^2 = 1$ . We must show that  $\tau(X)\tau(X^u) = \tau(Y)\tau(Y^v)$ .

We first argue that  $\tau(C_P(x)) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$  for any involution  $x \in P - (X \times X^u)$ . Let  $C = C_P(x) \cap (X \times X^u)$ . Then  $C = \{zz^x \mid z \in X\}$  is isomorphic to  $X$  and  $(X \times X^u) = CX^u$ . Thus,  $\tau(X) \equiv \tau(C) \pmod{X^u}$ . Similarly, we have  $\tau(X^u) \equiv \tau(C) \pmod{X}$ . Thus,  $\tau(C) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$ . Now, we simply note that  $C_P(x) = \langle x \rangle \times C$  and invoke induction to get  $\tau(C_P(x)) = \tau(C) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$ .

Similarly,  $\tau(C_P(v)) = \tau(Y)\tau(Y^v)$ . We now argue that  $\tau(C_P(v)) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$ . If  $v \in P - (X \times X^u)$ , this equality is clear from the previous paragraph. Hence, assume  $v \in X \times X^u$ . If  $C_P(v) \leq X \times X^u$ , then by induction we get  $\tau(C_P(v)) = \tau(C_{X \times X^u}(v)) = \tau(X \times X^u) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$ . Thus, we need now only treat the case that  $C_P(v) \not\leq X \times X^u$ . Let  $v = v_1v_2$ , where  $v_1 \in X$  and  $v_2 \in X^u$ . Let  $x_1x_2u \in C_P(v)$  where  $x_1 \in X$  and  $x_2 \in X^u$ . Then,  $v_1^{x_1x_2u} = v_2$  and  $v_2^{x_1x_2u} = v_1$ . Consider the conjugate  $\bar{v} = v^{x_1}$  of  $v$ . Let  $\bar{v}_1\bar{v}_2 = \bar{v}$  where

$\bar{v}_1 = v_1^{x_1} = v_1^{x_1^{x_2}}$  and  $\bar{v}_2 = v_2^{x_1} = v_2$ . Thus,  $\bar{v}_1^u = v_1^{x_1^{x_2}u} = v_2 = \bar{v}_2$  and  $\bar{v}_2^u = v_2^u = v_1^{x_1^{x_2}} = \bar{v}_1$ . Thus,  $u \in C_P(\bar{v})$ . Therefore,

$$C_P(\bar{v}) = (C_X(\bar{v}_1) \times C_{X^u}(\bar{v}_2))\langle u \rangle.$$

By induction,  $\tau(C_X(\bar{v}_1)) = \tau(X)$  and  $\tau(C_{X^u}(\bar{v}_2)) = \tau(X^u)$  and thus,  $\tau(C_P(\bar{v})) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$ . Now we observe that  $\tau(X)\tau(X^u) \in Z(P)$  and conjugate this last equality by  $x_1^{-1}$  to obtain  $\tau(C_P(v)) = \tau(X)\tau(X^u)$ . This completes the proof that  $\tau$  is well defined.

Finally, let  $x$  be any involution of  $P = (X \times X^u)\langle u \rangle$ . We now use the previous argument with  $v = x$  to prove that  $\tau(C_P(x)) = \tau(P)$  and thereby complete the proof of the lemma.

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM

Using the theorem of Alperin [1] in conjunction with Glauberman's  $Z^*$ -theorem [3], it is a fairly easy exercise to prove the following well-known result.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose  $\tau$  is an involution of a Sylow 2-group  $P$  such that  $\langle \tau \rangle \text{ char } C_P(x)$  for all involutions  $x \in P$ . Then,  $\tau \in Z^*(G)$ .*

In view of this theorem, our main theorem follows trivially as a corollary to Lemma 3.1. In fact, we have

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $\tau$  be a choice function on  $\mathbf{X}$  such that for any  $X \in \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\tau(X)$  is an involution of  $X'$  and  $\langle \tau(X) \rangle \text{ char } X$ . Then  $\tau$  has an extension to  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfying the following:*

*Whenever  $P \in \mathbf{P}$  is a Sylow 2-group of a group  $G$ , then  $\tau(P) \in Z^*(G)$ .*

Finally, let  $\mathbf{X}_0$  denote the set of generalized quaternions groups. Then,  $\mathbf{X}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}_0$  be the smallest class of 2-groups subject to  $\mathbf{X}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{B}_0$ , and if  $X \in \mathbf{B}_0$ , then  $X \sim Z_2 \in \mathbf{B}_0$ . Now, let  $\mathbf{P}_0$  be the smallest class subject to  $\mathbf{B}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{P}_0$ , and if  $X, Y \in \mathbf{P}_0$ , then  $X \times Y \in \mathbf{P}_0$ .

We remark, see [2], that  $\mathbf{P}_0$  represents exactly those 2-groups which appear as Sylow 2-groups of symplectic groups  $Sp(2n, q)$ , for  $q$  odd. It is obvious that  $\mathbf{P}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{P}$  and that any one of our choice functions restricts to a unique choice function  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathbf{P}_0$  which is the extension of the choice function on  $\mathbf{X}_0$  that chooses the unique involution of  $X$  for each  $X \in \mathbf{X}_0$ . Thus, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let  $P_i \in \mathbf{B}_0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and set  $\tau_i = \tau(P_i)$ , the unique involution of  $Z(P_i)$ . If  $P = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n$  is a Sylow 2-group of  $G$ , then  $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n \in Z^*(G)$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor W. Wong for initially posing this question and also for pointing out errors in the original proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. L. ALPERIN, Sylow intersections and fusion, *J. Algebra* **6** (1967), 222–241.
2. R. CARTER AND P. FONG, The Sylow 2-subgroups of the finite classical groups, *J. Algebra* **1** (1964), 139–151.
3. G. GLAUBERMAN, Central elements in core-free groups, *J. Algebra* **4** (1966), 403–420.
4. D. GORENSTEIN, "Finite Groups," Harper and Row, New York, 1968.